FILE

2018 Feb-16 PM 03:37 U.S. DISTRICT COURT 12/21/2017 N.D. OF ALABAMA

Page 1

ORIGINAL

TRANSCRIBED AUDIO FILE

DATE TRANSCRIBED: DECEMBER 21, 2017

TRANSCRIBED BY: Nancy Pannell, CCR

FEMALE 1: -- under the Superfund. So the whole idea of proposing the final NPL -- $\,$

 $\label{eq:mr.robinson:} \mbox{ MR. ROBINSON: $$U$h-huh} \ \mbox{ (affirmative)}.$

FEMALE 1: -- is to help us get into a posture where we can do the rest of the work that needs to be done, to get the responsible parties to the table to sign agreements with us to help move forward.

I think the other thing that is really good about what Superfund is doing here is we have been engaged in the community.

 $\label{eq:mr.ROBINSON: Uh-huh} \mbox{(affirmative)}.$

FEMALE 1: So we have the North Birmingham Community Coalition that has been established. We have contractors here who have really took the community through a process to look at the concerns and learn about what can be done to

Page 3

address them.

 $\label{eq:mr.ROBINSON: Uh-huh} \mbox{(affirmative)}.$

FEMALE 1: And so they're coming up with an action plan right now that's in draft that will be finalized talking about things that they want to do in terms of health care, access to fresh foods, economic development, housing, community development so that is an ongoing process so I --

 $\label{eq:mr.ROBINSON: Can I stop} % \begin{center} \begin{cente$

FEMALE 1: Uh-huh

(affirmative).

MR. ROBINSON: With that being said, is that a part -- is that what you actually, in addition to the Superfund, that you bring as a way to enhance the quality of life --

FEMALE 1: Exactly.

MR. ROBINSON: -- in that

process?

FEMALE 1: Because what we have found in so many places is when we go into do cleanups --

MR. ROBINSON: Right.

FEMALE 1: -- is that they

are -- and I also read the Environmental Justice Act.

MR. ROBINSON: Uh-huh

(affirmative).

FEMALE 1: There are environmental justice areas that have been impacted, the communities have been disenfranchised. You have blight. You have crime.

You have all of those things that so many of our communities are facing, so one way to do that is to try to bring people together --

 $\label{eq:MR.ROBINSON: Uh-huh} \mbox{(affirmative)}.$

FEMALE 1: -- to start

having those conversations --

MR. ROBINSON: Uh-huh

Page 5

(affirmative).

FEMALE 1: -- about what can be done. So that's really what the whole coalition piece is about.

And also we're working with the federal agencies through our inner agency process to really explore what additional resources the Federal Government can bring to that area --

MR. ROBINSON: Right.

FEMALE 1: -- in terms of technical assistance, capacity building, hoping that the city will go after some major funding to help revitalize that area.

MR. ROBINSON: Uh-huh

(affirmative). Now, the, the -- I guess
you would look at it as being grants, any
kind of funding from the Federal
Government?

 $\label{eq:female 1: Uh-huh} \mbox{ (affirmative)} \; .$

MR. ROBINSON: Is that

connected to --

FEMALE 3: It's our convening power. So, so we start with the Superfund site.

MR. ROBINSON: Right.

FEMALE 3: That's what

brought us there.

 $\label{eq:mr.ROBINSON: Uh-huh} \mbox{ (affirmative)}.$

FEMALE 3: But we get there and we look around and we see, as we see so frequently, that there are many other problems facing the neighborhoods.

And our administrator and especially our regional administrator have asked us to focus on making a visible difference in communities.

 $\label{eq:mr.ROBINSON:} \mbox{ MR. ROBINSON: Uh-huh} \ \mbox{ (affirmative)}.$

FEMALE 3: So the one thing that EPA does have is, and they refer to it as our convening power, and that's what's happened here as a result of

organization around Superfund that reached out to the community that's kind of expanded to identify not only the Superfund hazardous waste issues --

MR. ROBINSON: Right.

FEMALE 3: -- we can

directly address, but the other issues.

MR. ROBINSON: Uh-huh

FEMALE 3: And using our convening power to try to bring other resources to bear to address those, so that's the broader context.

(affirmative).

MR. ROBINSON: Uh-huh

(affirmative). And the funding that the

-- whether it's the stay -- well, it

probably would have to be the city to try

to get any additional funding to do other

things out there, is that tied to the

status as being a Superfund site, or is

that a requirement of being on the

national -- the NPL list --

FEMALE 1: So Cynthia can

correct me, but, you know, I look at it like a ring. You know, if you bring in all of the federal agencies and the private parties and the city, that would be the ring.

MR. ROBINSON: Right.

FEMALE 1: And you look and see what kinds of monies and sources and funds these various parties have. And if there is a way to link what these entities have with the needs of the community --

 $\label{eq:mr.Robinson: Uh-huh} \mbox{ (affirmative)} \; .$

FEMALE 1: -- what helps that is when the community has a vision -- MR. ROBINSON: Uh-huh

(affirmative).

FEMALE 1: -- that we can shape what it is asking for from these partners but those funds are not EPA funds.

MR. ROBINSON: Right, right.

FEMALE 1: Those are --

well, they're not all EPA funds, there might be grants from EPA or there might be HUD funds or there might be FEMA that might come in and say ooh, look at this city, it's in a mess if we have a disaster so we can -- we have funds that will help address that or whatever sources are available to try to help the community achieve its objectives. Does that --

MR. ROBINSON: Yeah, that helps me a lot, that -- because, I mean, you know what the -- I mean, if I go over there and I hear several different things from the people, I mean we have an ability to make things up.

But that's why I wanted to ask that question because it was like, well, if there are any funds that are going to come from any federal agencies you have to be on the NPL in order to get those.

(All in unison said no.)
MR. ROBINSON: That's --

FEMALE 2: The only thing the NPL is guaranteeing is a long-term cleanup for those other properties that were not --

MR. ROBINSON: Not under the

- -

FEMALE 2: -- deemed

emergency properties.

 $\label{eq:mr.ROBINSON: Uh-huh} \mbox{ (affirmative), right.}$

FEMALE 2: That's all the NPL. It's not tied to HUD funding. It's not tied to DOT funding or anything like that, so.

MR. ROBINSON: That's good. That had come up a lot of times even with Representative Moore in our conversations that she was saying that -- not that she had said that, but she had stated others had stated to her that the only way that we can get those funds we have to -- or the only way that they could get those funds they have to be on the NPL list in

order to go out to get them. And from what you're saying -- I mean the agencies are already there.

FEMALE 1: There's not a link, but what Superfund, what makes it so critical what it does allow us to do is to have a greater presence. It allows EPA --

 $\label{eq:mr.Robinson: Uh-huh} \mbox{(affirmative)}.$

FEMALE 1: -- to have greater leverage as far as talking to the parties who put the pollution there in the first place.

 $\label{eq:mr.Robinson: Uh-huh} \mbox{ (affirmative)} \; .$

FEMALE 1: And bringing them to the table to try to clean up the pollution directly.

 $\label{eq:mr.Robinson: Uh-huh} \mbox{ (affirmative)} \; .$

FEMALE 1: And hopefully

achieve some of these other objectives.

So when you have -- if we run out of -our removal authority is limited. The
amount of money that we can spend using
our emergency response authority is much
smaller than --

 $\label{eq:mr.ROBINSON: Uh-huh} \mbox{ (affirmative)} \; .$

FEMALE 1: -- our ability to address sites under our remedial authority, and you have to be under or on the NPL in order for us to use remedial funds. If you're not on the NPL, you can't access those funds generally.

MR. ROBINSON: Uh-huh (affirmative). When you said remedial funds that's not like the emergency?

FEMALE 2: That's the long

MR. ROBINSON: That's the

long term?

term.

FEMALE 3: Yeah.

MR. ROBINSON: So the

remedial funds where do they come from?

FEMALE 2: The NPL.

MR. ROBINSON: All right.

FEMALE 2: They finalize on

the National Priorities List.

FEMALE 1: That's right.

MR. ROBINSON: All right.

And those -- that's the federal dollars, or is that dollars that come in from those individuals you stated that --

FEMALE 2: The potentially responsible parties, it could be those --

FEMALE 3: We would like it to be their money.

MR. ROBINSON: All right.

 $\label{eq:female 2} \mbox{FEMALE 2:} \quad \mbox{And the state,}$ the state as well.

MR. ROBINSON: And the state. Yeah, that's a couple of my questions.

And I know that initially, and Representative Moore brought to my attention about Walter Coke, that

particular business out there that has just been in the cleanup process.

FEMALE 3: They're under a RCRA.

MR. ROBINSON: Yeah, right.

FEMALE 2: So it's a

different statute, but they're under that corrective action right now and --

operating -- they have to have a permit to conduct their business that would allow them to -- that governs how they manage the waste that they generate as a function of operating and that's the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act. That's their RCRA permit.

 $\label{eq:mr.robinson: uh-huh} {\tt MR. ROBINSON: Uh-huh} \ ({\tt affirmative}) \; .$

FEMALE 1: And that, that requires them to keep their site in a certain order, and if the contamination from their site is kind of spreading out --

MR. ROBINSON: Uh-huh

(affirmative).

 $\label{eq:female 1: -- it makes them} % \begin{center} \begin{ce$

MR. ROBINSON: Right.

FEMALE 1: So we're using that law to look at how they're operating and making sure that they aren't, you know, kind of contaminating --

 $\label{eq:mr.ROBINSON:} \mbox{Contaminating}$ the area --

FEMALE 1: -- their neighbor's property and see that they are taking care of that.

MR. ROBINSON: So how did the -- another one of my questions as it relates to the other companies or are there other companies, and I heard about Alagasco, I mean some companies that are surrounding kind of the area, even going over to ACIPCO.

But what other companies are -- will be focusing under the NPL or that

you're looking at right now under the Superfund site? Is there others because I mean if -- or are you depending on Walter Coke?

FEMALE 1: No.

FEMALE 2: No, there are

others.

MR. ROBINSON: All right.

FEMALE 1: The way the

Superfund works and the contamination that you have out there is mixed, so it's contamination from a number of sources.

So the way the Superfund works is anybody who might have contributed to the contamination, contributed to the problem, is potentially responsible for helping to pay for the cleanup of that problem --

 $\label{eq:mr.ROBINSON: Uh-huh} \mbox{ (affirmative)} \; .$

FEMALE 1: -- so Walter Coke

is one.

MR. ROBINSON: Right.

FEMALE 1: But there might be others. And if there are others, then what we would do either using our removal authority, but especially using our remedial authority is to bring everyone that we can identify that might be potentially responsible for contributing to the contamination --

 $\label{eq:mr.ROBINSON: Uh-huh} \mbox{ (affirmative)} \; .$

FEMALE 1: -- to the table to say, okay, this site needs cleaning up, what are you able or willing --

MR. ROBINSON: Willing.

 $\begin{tabular}{lll} FEMALE 1: & -- to do, and if \\ -- our preference is that they do it and \\ not EPA. \end{tabular}$

 $\label{eq:mr.ROBINSON:} \mbox{ MR. ROBINSON: Uh-huh} \ \mbox{ (affirmative)}.$

FEMALE 1: What the

Superfund does is it sets out the standard

for cleanup. It tells those potentially

responsible parties how they have to clean

up, how well they have to clean up, what they have to do, and it requires them to pay for that.

And if they -- if we can get them to agree, great. If not, then we can use our enforcement authority to go after them.

We can use the Superfund money. We can use our taxpayer dollars to go do the work and then --

MR. ROBINSON: Okay.

 $\label{eq:female 1: -- to pursue them} % \begin{center} \begin{c$

FEMALE 3: So we signed what we call notice letters of five different companies --

MR. ROBINSON: All right.

FEMALE 3: -- and I can --

MR. ROBINSON: You can send

them?

FEMALE 3: Yeah, I can send them -- yeah, I just look them up and send them out, and so in addition to Walter

Coke additional companies were notified.

MR. ROBINSON: Right.

FEMALE 3: And so, yeah --

MR. ROBINSON: And -- and

now I'm going to step into my state representative seat because it was stated that in order, I guess it may be the NPL, in order for it to happen, the state has to agree or sign on or something and we're in Alabama.

I'm just saying that -- if that's the case, being in the Legislature, we don't do anything now and then unless we're like choked to do it and almost don't have any breath left, so how does that work if the state decides say, well, you know, whatever it is you're doing up there, we're not -- we're not signing off, we're not paying anything?

FEMALE 2: I think what's important is that EPA we actually, especially region four, we pride ourselves in our relationship with our state

12/21/2017 Page 20

partners.

MR. ROBINSON: Right.

FEMALE 2: So we --

MR. ROBINSON: Because I

hadn't -- I hadn't dealt with -- is it the

EMA -- the --

FEMALE 2: Well, we -- our

ADEM, the Alabama Department of

Environmental Management --

MR. ROBINSON: ADEM, yes.

FEMALE 2: -- and our --

MR. ROBINSON: I haven't

said anything to them.

FEMALE 2: And we continue

to coordinate with them, and part of EPA

policy is to get state cost share.

MR. ROBINSON: All right.

FEMALE 2: To clean up

sites. Again, that's policy.

FEMALE 1: It's required

under the statute.

FEMALE 2: It's required

under the statute.

12/21/2017

Page 21

FEMALE 3: It's required. You have to pay a certain percentage of the ${\hbox{\scriptsize --}}$

FEMALE 1: Ten percent.

FEMALE 2: Oh, excuse me,

the policy is the concurrence, you're right. Sorry, yeah, you're absolutely right.

It is required under the statute, it's 10 percent?

FEMALE 1: Uh-huh

(affirmative).

FEMALE 2: 10 percent cost

share of it.

MR. ROBINSON: All right.

FEMALE 2: The policy is the

concurrence part, sorry.

FEMALE 1: Right. So to

answer your question, we do have to have

-- if the site gets on the NPL --

MR. ROBINSON: Uh-huh

(affirmative).

FEMALE 1: -- and we are

going to do remedial action there, and we are going to spend EPA funds to do that action, the remedial action.

 $\label{eq:mr.robinson: Uh-huh} {\tt MR. ROBINSON: Uh-huh}$ (affirmative).

FEMALE 1: Then the state has to kick in its 10 percent cost share.

So there are a number of ways we work with states on doing that. There's payments out the back. We take payment in kind. We take all -- so we understand the states and we work with them.

MR. ROBINSON: Yeah.

FEMALE 1: So the 10 percent cost share is not usually a stopper, a show stopper.

 $\label{eq:mr.ROBINSON: Uh-huh} \mbox{(affirmative)}.$

FEMALE 1: We find ways to work with that. But I know that most states have or sometimes, especially in the south --

MR. ROBINSON: Uh-huh

(affirmative).

FEMALE 1: -- express concern about how they are going to come up with their 10 percent cost share if this is a remedial action.

But we go back to -- before we even get to EPA spending its money we're going to go after Walter Coke and ACIPCO and --

 $\label{eq:mr.ROBINSON: Uh-huh} \mbox{ (affirmative)}.$

FEMALE 1: -- and CSX, or XXX, or whoever we can to get that work done. We use our enforcement authority first.

So before we spend our federal dollars we'll have exhausted all possibilities for getting potentially responsible parties to take care of whatever needs to be done.

 $\label{eq:mr.ROBINSON:} \mbox{ $\tt Uh-huh}$ (affirmative).

FEMALE 1: And then if we can't do that, before we come to the state for their 10 percent cost share --

MR. ROBINSON: Right.

FEMALE 1: -- we're going to talk about how the state can make that payment and --

 $\label{eq:mr.ROBINSON: Uh-huh} \mbox{(affirmative)}.$

FEMALE 1: -- and we're very flexible.

 $\label{eq:mr.ROBINSON: Uh-huh} \mbox{(affirmative)}.$

FEMALE 1: We're a good creditor.

MR. ROBINSON: Right. And so with that, I could see those companies, especially the ones that are not Walter Coke, those that have not been in the game before but now they're being pulled in the game because of, you know, the Superfund and such --

FEMALE 1: (Inaudible) it's

their responsibility.

MR. ROBINSON: Yeah, or their responsibility.

FEMALE 1: Uh-huh (affirmative).

MR. ROBINSON: That they will use their resources to litigate the situation for as long as they possibly can if they can't come up with an agreement, you know, depending, I guess depending on how much money everybody's got, but -- or what responsibility do they hold, you know, for what portion.

FEMALE 3: I think we're hopeful, and this may be something you could help us with.

MR. ROBINSON: All right.

FEMALE 3: We're hopeful that these companies come together and form a group and work with us.

MR. ROBINSON: All right.

FEMALE 3: Not only to clean up the site, but to help revitalize the

area because you can't -- you can't really separate the two.

 $\label{eq:mr.ROBINSON: Uh-huh} $$ (affirmative).$

FEMALE 3: Because of the communities. The communities have so many impacts and we're in conversations. We know what's needed there.

The city is very much on board with helping to revitalize that area, so we're hoping that we can get the companies to come together, work closely with us, not have to go through a lot of litigation at all.

 $\label{eq:mr.ROBINSON:} \mbox{ $\tt Uh-huh}$ (affirmative).

FEMALE 3: Let's just get out here and let's do what we need to do.

MR. ROBINSON: Right. And that -- that's the way it needs to be done.

FEMALE 3: And you can help us with that.

MR. ROBINSON: Yeah, yeah, I could help with that. The -- do you have to get to the NPL to force them to do it before --

FEMALE 1: Huh-uh

(negative), huh-uh (negative).

MR. ROBINSON: You know what

I'm saying, before --

FEMALE 1: No.

FEMALE 2: You don't.

FEMALE 1: But we have found

that the NPL acts as a great motivator.

Because you can get off the NPL.

MR. ROBINSON: Yeah.

FEMALE 1: There are a lot of things that we've learned about sites that get on the NPL, and one is, I mean, there is a perception that it's a bad thing.

But once a site is on the NPL, what the studies are showing is it serves as a revitalizing effect because, first of all, federal dollars are going to

come in there and clean up the contamination, and most of these properties will then be, you know -- are reused for some beneficial purpose.

So what we have found is that Superfund actually stimulates or it's helpful, but it's definitely a motivator for people who contributed to the contamination because if they don't cooperate, the penalties are pretty high.

 $\label{eq:mr.ROBINSON: Uh-huh} \mbox{ (affirmative)}.$

FEMALE 1: If they are liable and they fail to either respond to an EPA order to do the work or to ante up for their portion of liability, then, you know, we have some pretty strong enforcement mechanisms --

 $\label{eq:mr.ROBINSON: Uh-huh} \mbox{ (affirmative)}.$

 $\label{eq:female 1: -- to get back at them for that.}$

MR. ROBINSON: What

determines their liability? You know, what -- I mean is it, is it that when it gets to or through the courts that their liability is there?

Or is it the EPA say well, you're liable for this and you have to -- you all have to share in this cost? Where does the liability -- where does it kick in I guess? Do you kind of understand what I'm saying?

FEMALE 1: Yeah. The way the Superfund works if you contribute a little bit or a lot --

 $\label{eq:mr.robinson:} \mbox{ \sc MR. ROBINSON: } \mbox{ \sc Uh-huh}$ (affirmative).

FEMALE 1: -- doesn't

matter.

MR. ROBINSON: All right.

FEMALE 1: You're

responsible for the whole thing. And what the responsible parties tend -- that's an overstatement.

There are, there are

provisions for -- there's recognition that people have different levels of contribution.

MR. ROBINSON: Yeah, yeah.

FEMALE 1: And so there are provisions to allow people to apportion their liability. I contributed 10 percent of the problem, so I'm going to, if I'm smart, come to EPA and say ooh, I did 10 percent. I'm willing to pay my 10 percent, and I'll make an agreement with you --

 $\label{eq:mr.robinson: Uh-huh} \mbox{ (affirmative)} \; .$

FEMALE 1: -- to do that,

but --

MR. ROBINSON: I mean if a company thought that now because they've already gotten the letters that they're --

FEMALE 2: Well, you know, you have to also realize it's heavily industrialized area, too, so, and a lot of the companies emitted a lot of the same --

MR. ROBINSON: Thing, yeah.

FEMALE 2: -- contaminant, so EPA everything we do is -- we have the science to back it up. We have the testing. We have the data that's going to back it up, so.

MR. ROBINSON: Uh-huh

(affirmative). And that goes back to what
you were saying, I mean if you put a

little bit in there --

FEMALE 2: Yeah, yeah.

FEMALE 1: In for a penny,

in for a pound.

FEMALE 2: Yeah.

MR. ROBINSON: You know, so that, that was one of my questions because I wanted to try to figure out exactly the, the responsible parties how they are going to be --

FEMALE 2: I think to

Cynthia's point, your assistance with that

would be -- right?

FEMALE 1: Uh-huh

(affirmative). So they usually organize. They go behind doors and they don't tell us what they're doing.

FEMALE 2: Uh-huh (affirmative).

MR. ROBINSON: How, how, how do they know -- how do they know right now how much it would cost?

FEMALE 1: They don't really know until we do the studies and we --

FEMALE 2: Come up with a

plan --

FEMALE 1: -- come up with a remedy, but this -- you know, Superfund's been around for a while and the technology there's some savvy in the area, so what happens is everything happens in stages so the PRP go -- they could go off and say okay, we don't know how much the remedy is going to cost because we don't know what you're going to want to do --

 $\label{eq:mr.ROBINSON:} \mbox{ $\tt Uh-huh}$ (affirmative).

FEMALE 1: -- but we will agree to pay for the study. That's the first part of a Superfund action.

And they go behind closed doors and they agree who's going to pay what and they just come to EPA and sign an agreement with us --

 $\label{eq:mr.ROBINSON: Uh-huh} \mbox{(affirmative)}.$

FEMALE 1: -- that requires the work, and they sort all of that liability stuff out.

MR. ROBINSON: Uh-huh

(affirmative). Tell me about this part
about the properties because I have
another friend that said, you know, most
of the time, and he may have been wrong,
most of the time the Superfund and NPL all
off that occurs, it's usually not an
environment where you have so many
residents.

Usually it's an industrial property or, you know, something, that's

what -- because I know we have Stockham

Valve and Fitting here that was on the -
I forget the name of it, but it was under
that because of the environmental hazards
that were on that site.

And so, so what -- being that these individuals live on this property and continue to live on this property, what is the effects to them as it relates to property value?

Because I'm hearing, you know, the property's not going to be worth anything, and, you know, going under the Superfund or the NPL or whatever it is, the property's not going to be worth anything and they're going to -- not going to be able to sell it, you know, that kind of line of information.

And I wanted to get -- you know, I really wanted to know for sure my own self, as my father would say --

 $\label{eq:female 1: I have an answer} % \begin{center} \begin{ce$

MR. ROBINSON: My own self, you know, and I understand that the -- I mean the hazard is there, I mean regardless --

FEMALE 1: So for their property values to sustain and be in good condition --

 $\label{eq:mr.ROBINSON: Uh-huh} \mbox{ (affirmative)} \; .$

FEMALE 1: -- they need to get those properties cleaned.

MR. ROBINSON: Cleaned up, uh-huh (affirmative), no doubt about that. No doubt about that.

rubber hits the road. I know people often say, you know, it shouldn't be in a residential area doing cleanups and that, you know. And that happens, we do do cleanups in residential areas.

MR. ROBINSON: Right, right.

FEMALE 1: Because that's our job. We have to protect the public.

So I think to look at it maybe as, you know, the way -- and I know the concerns. I've been around a long time about property values.

 $\label{eq:mr.ROBINSON: Uh-huh} \mbox{ (affirmative)} \; .$

FEMALE 1: The way to help the most is to get it cleaned up but also the revitalization.

 $\label{eq:mr.ROBINSON: Uh-huh} \mbox{ (affirmative)}.$

FEMALE 1: And the conversations that needs to take place, the work that needs to take place.

A lot of things can go on at the local level to help dispel that theory that these properties --

MR. ROBINSON: Right.

FEMALE 1: -- are not going to be sustainable or viable or the rates should be dropping or, you know.

 $\label{eq:mr.ROBINSON: Uh-huh} \mbox{ (affirmative)} \; .$

FEMALE 1: So you guys again can help with those kind of things from an elected official standpoint is getting together and making sure you help protect those communities --

 $\label{eq:mr.ROBINSON:} \mbox{ $\tt Uh-huh}$ (affirmative).

 $\mbox{FEMALE 1: } \mbox{ -- as we move} \\ \mbox{forward with development and clean up.}$

MR. ROBINSON: Yeah, that, that -- and Representative Moore had made statements about that as well, and that was -- that was really one of my concerns is that especially if these companies come out and fight as long as they can fight, how long will, you know, these properties go, number one, I mean without being cleaned up?

But because of the

Superfund, they may come in and do

something to clean. But I think that my

issue was that once they have been

declared a Superfund area and once they go

on the NPL, that they are -- at that point there's nothing that they can do until it's clean that is going to keep that property value at the same level.

And the thing about it is is that the person next door, you know, I might not have any contamination on my property, but my property is not worth anything either because I'm in the -- I'm in the Superfund area, you know what I'm saying?

And that's what I'm getting from that slither of folks right there in Tarrant that's in my district that -- and that's about the Tarrant other thing, but that's what I'm trying to have an answer for before it comes up on me as a Legislator in my district.

FEMALE 3: And, you know, there have been times we've met, we've worked with the real estate folks.

 $\label{eq:mr.robinson: uh-huh} \mbox{\sc (affirmative)}.$

FEMALE 3: We've worked with the property, the people who handle property values.

MR. ROBINSON: Right.

FEMALE 3: And tax --

MR. ROBINSON: Tax assessor.

FEMALE 3: -- and the county

and stuff like that.

MR. ROBINSON: Uh-huh

(affirmative).

FEMALE 3: So I think there are ways to work around some of the problems that could come up.

 $\label{eq:mr.Robinson: Uh-huh} \mbox{ (affirmative)} \; .$

(Audio file ends.)

12/21/2017 Page 40

	rage	1,
CERTIFICATE		
STATE OF ALABAMA)		
TUSCALOOSA COUNTY)		
I hereby certify that the above		
transcript was typed by me from a DVD		
recording and transcribed true and correct		
to the best of my ability.		
I further certify that I am		
neither of counsel nor of kin to the		
parties to the action, nor am I in anywise		
interested in the results of said cause.		
NANCY W. PANNELL, CCR		
ACCR#30-EXPIRES 9/30/18		
THE STATE OF THE S		

12/21/2017

Page 41

				Page 41
A	33:25,35 35:25	В	35:31 36:23	Conservation
ability 9:35	35:33 36:19,29	back 22:27	37:43	14:37
12:25 40:29	36:53 37:21	23:21 28:49	cleaning 17:31	contaminant
able 17:33 34:41	38:53 39:27,37		cleanup 10:13	31:11
A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	agencies 5:19	31:15,19,23	14:11 16:43	contaminating
absolutely 21:21	8:13 9:45	bad 27:43	17:51	15:25,27
access 3:23	11:11	bear 7:31	cleanups 4:13	contamination
12:35	agency 5:21	beneficial 28:15	35:43,47	Considerate accompany of providing the providing and the providing providing the providing and the pro
ACCR#30-EX	agree 18:17	best 40:29	closed 33:15	14:49 16:27,31
40:53	19:25 33:11,17	Birmingham	closely 26:31	16:37 17:23
achieve 9:25	agreement	2:45	coalition 2:45	28:11,25 38:21
12:9	25:25 30:29	bit 29:33 31:27		context 7:33
ACIPCO 15:49	33:21	blight 4:33	5:15	continue 20:35
23:27	Annual Control of the	board 26:27	Coke 13:53	34:23
Act 4:21 14:37	agreements 2:27	breath 19:37	16:15,49 19:9	contractors 2:47
action 3:17	Alabama 19:27	bring 3:45 4:43	23:25 24:45	contribute
14:23 22:9,13	20:23 40:15	5:25 7:29 8:11	come 9:15,45	29:31
22:13 23:19	Alagasco 15:45	17:17	10:39 13:9,25	contributed
33:13 40:37	allow 11:23	bringing 11:43	23:15 24:11	16:37,39 28:23
acts 27:31	14:29 30:19	broader 7:33	25:25,45 26:31	30:21
addition 3:43	allows 11:25	brought 6:21	28:9 30:25	contributing
18:53	amount 12:15	13:51	32:29,33 33:19	17:21
additional 5:23	answer 21:45	building 5:31	37:35,47 39:33	contribution
7:43 19:9	34:51 38:39	business 14:9,29	comes 38:41	30:13
address 3:9 7:21	ante 28:37		coming 3:17	convening 6:13
7:31 9:21	anybody 16:35	C	communities	6:51 7:29
12:27	anywise 40:37	C 40:9,9	4:31,39 6:41	conversations
ADEM 20:23,27	apportion 30:19	call 18:37	26:19,19 37:17	4:51 10:41
administrator	area 5:25,37	capacity 5:31	community 2:37	26:21 36:33
6:35,37	15:29,47 26:9	care 3:23 15:35	2:45,49 3:27	cooperate 28:27
affirmative 2:17	26:29 30:51	23:47	7:11 8:29,37	coordinate
2:41 3:13,37	32:39 35:43	case 19:31	9:23	20:37
4:25,47 5:9,41	37:53 38:27	cause 40:39	companies	correct 8:9
5:51 6:25,45	areas 4:29 35:47	CCR 1:53 40:51	15:41,43,45,51	15:15 40:27
7:25,37 8:33	asked 6:39	certain 14:49	18:39 19:9	corrective 14:23
8:41 10:27	asking 8:45	21:11	24:41 25:45	cost 20:39 21:33
11:29,41,51	assessor 39:19	certify 40:23,33	26:31 30:53	22:21,39 23:17
12:23,39 14:43	assistance 5:31	choked 19:35	37:35	24:13 29:21
15:11 16:47	31:49	city 5:33 7:41	company 30:43	32:23,47
17:27,45 21:31	attention 13:53	8:15 9:17	concern 23:15	counsel 40:35
21:51 22:17,45	Audio 1:23	26:25	concerns 2:51	county 39:21
23:11,31,53	39:41	clean 11:45	36:13 37:33	40:17
24:25,33 25:17	authority 12:13	17:53 18:9	concurrence	couple 13:45
26:15,39 28:31	12:17,29 17:15	20:43 25:51	21:19,41	courts 29:13
28:47 29:37	17:17 18:19	28:9 37:25,49	condition 35:21	creditor 24:37
30:35 31:23	23:37	38:13	conduct 14:29	crime 4:35
	available 9:23	cleaned 35:29	connected 6:9	critical 11:23
32:9,17,53	a runnite y . 23	Cicaneu 33.29	connected 0.9	Citucal 11.23
	I.			
				The second secon

12/21/2017Page 42

				rage 42
CSX 23:33	DVD 40:25	explore 5:21	31:11,29,31,35	G
Cynthia 7:53		express 23:13	31:47,53 32:15	
Cynthia's 31:49	E	- CAPT COS 25.15	32:25,29,33	game 24:45,49
	E 40:9,9	F	33:9,27 34:51	generally 12:35
D	economic 3:25	F 40:9	35:17,27,37,51	generate 14:33
data 31:17	effect 27:51	facing 4:41 6:33	36:21,31,45	getting 23:45
DATE 1:51	effects 34:25	fail 28:35	37:9,23 38:45	37:13 38:31
dealt 20:17	either 17:13	far 11:33	39:9,17,21,29	go 4:11 5:33
DECEMBER	28:35 38:25	father 34:49	fight 37:37,37	9:31 11:9
1:51	elected 37:13	federal 5:19,23	figure 31:41	18:19,27 23:21
decides 19:39	EMA 20:19	5:45 8:13 9:45	file 1:23 39:41	23:25 26:33
declared 37:53	emergency	13:23 23:43	final 2:13	32:11,43,43
deemed 10:21	10:23 12:17,41	27:53	finalize 13:15	33:15 36:37
DEFENSE 1:25	emitted 30:53	FEMA 9:13	finalized 3:19	37:41,53
definitely 28:21	ends 39:41	FEMALE 2:9	find 22:47	goes 31:23
Department	enforcement	2:19,43 3:15	first 11:37 23:39	going 9:45 15:47 19:17 22:9,11
20:23	18:19 23:37	3:35,49 4:9,17	27:53 33:13	23:15,25 24:17
depending	28:43	4:27,49 5:11	Fitting 34:11	27:53 30:23
16:13 25:27,27	engaged 2:35	5:29,49 6:11	five 18:37	31:17,43 32:47
determines 29:9	enhance 3:47	6:19,27,47	flexible 24:29	32:49 33:17
development	entities 8:27	7:19,27,53	focus 6:39	34:31,33,37,39
3:25,27 37:25	environment	8:21,35,43,53	focusing 15:53	34:39 36:45
difference 6:41	33:47	10:9,21,29	folks 38:33,49	38:13
different 9:33	environmental	11:15,19,31,43	foods 3:25	good 2:33 10:37
14:21 18:37	4:19,29 20:25	11:53 12:25,43	force 27:13	24:35 35:19
30:11	34:15	12:51 13:11,15	forget 34:13	gotten 30:45
directly 7:21	EPA 6:49 8:47	13:19,29,33,39	form 25:47	Government
11:47	9:9,11 11:25	14:13,19,25,45	forward 2:29	5:25,47
disaster 9:17	17:41 19:49	15:13,19,31	37:25	governs 14:31
disenfranchised	20:37 22:11	16:17,19,25,49	found 4:11	grants 5:43 9:11
4:33	23:23 28:37	17:9,29,37,47	27:29 28:17	great 18:17
dispel 36:39	29:17 30:25	18:31,35,43,49	four 19:51	27:31
district 38:35,43	31:13 33:19	19:13,47 20:13	frequently 6:31	greater 11:25,33
doing 2:35 19:43	especially 6:37	20:21,29,35,43	fresh 3:23	group 25:47
22:25 32:13	17:15 19:51	20:47,51 21:9	friend 33:39	guaranteeing
35:43	22:51 24:43	21:15,17,29,33	function 14:33	10:11
dollars 13:23,25	37:35	21:39,43,53	funding 5:35,45	guess 5:41 19:21
18:25 23:43	established 2:47	22:19,37,47	7:37,43 10:31	25:27 29:25
27:53	estate 38:49	23:13,33 24:9	10:33	guys 37:9
door 38:19	everybody's	24:17,27,35,53	funds 8:25,47,49	
doors 32:11	25:29	25:15,35,43,51	9:9,13,19,43	H
33:17 DOT 10:22	exactly 3:49	26:17,41,51	10:49,53 12:33	handle 39:11
DOT 10:33	31:41	27:17,25,27,29	12:35,41 13:9	happen 19:23
doubt 35:33,35	excuse 21:17	27:37 28:33,49	22:11	happened 6:53
draft 3:19	exhausted 23:43	29:29,39,45	further 40:33	happens 32:41
dropping 36:49	expanded 7:13	30:17,37,47		32:41 35:45
THE RESERVE OF THE PERSON OF T				

12/21/2017Page 43

				rage 43
hazard 35:13	interested 40:39	38:15	31:25 35:13,13	34:35 38:9
hazardous 7:15	issue 37:51	levels 30:11	37:41	number 16:31
hazards 34:15	issues 7:15,21	leverage 11:33	mechanisms	22:23 37:41
health 3:23		liability 28:39	28:43	22.23 37.41
hear 9:33	J	29:9,15,23	mess 9:17	0
heard 15:43	job 35:53	30:21 33:31	met 38:47	objectives 9:25
hearing 34:29	JOINT 1:25	liable 28:35	mixed 16:29	12:9
heavily 30:49	justice 4:21,29	29:19	money 12:15	occurs 33:45
help 2:19,27		life 3:47	13:35 18:25	official 37:13
5:35 9:19,23	K	limited 12:13	23:23 25:29	Oh 21:17
25:39,53 26:51	keep 14:47	line 34:43	monies 8:23	okay 17:31
27:11 36:21,39	38:13	link 8:27 11:21	Moore 10:41	18:29 32:45
37:11,15	kick 22:21 29:23	list 7:51 10:53	13:51 37:29	once 27:47
helpful 28:21	kin 40:35	13:17	motivator 27:31	37:51,53
helping 16:41	kind 5:45 7:11	litigate 25:21	28:21	ones 24:43
26:27	14:51 15:25,47	litigation 26:35	move 2:27 37:23	ongoing 3:29
helps 8:35 9:29	22:29 29:25	little 29:33	move 2.27 37.23	ooh 9:15 30:25
high 28:27	34:41 37:11	31:27	N	operating 14:27
hits 35:39	kinds 8:23	live 34:21,23	name 34:13	14:35 15:21
hold 25:31	know 8:9,11	local 36:39	Nancy 1:53	order 9:47 11:9
hopeful 25:37	9:31 13:49	long 12:43,49	40:51	12:31 14:49
25:43	15:25 19:41	25:23 36:13	national 7:51	19:21,23 28:37
hopefully 11:53	22:49 24:49	37:37,39	13:17	organization 7:9
hoping 5:33	25:27,33 26:23	long-term 10:11	need 26:43	organize 32:9
26:29	27:21 28:13,41	look 2:51 5:43	35:27	overstatement
housing 3:25	29:9 30:47	6:29 8:9,21	needed 26:23	29:51
HUD 9:13 10:31	31:37 32:21,21	9:15 15:21	needs 2:23 8:29	
huh-uh 27:17,19	32:27,35,45,47	18:51 36:9	17:31 23:49	P
	33:39,53 34:9	looking 16:9	26:47 36:33,35	Pannell 1:53
I	34:31,33,41,47	lot 9:29 10:39	negative 27:19	40:51
idea 2:11	34:47 35:11,39	26:33 27:37	27:19	part 3:41 20:37
identify 7:13	35:41,45 36:11	29:33 30:51,53	neighbor's	21:41 33:13,35
17:19	36:11,49 37:39	36:37	15:33	particular 14:9
impacted 4:31	38:19,27,45		neighborhoods	parties 2:25
impacts 26:21		M	6:33	8:15,25 11:35
important 19:49	L L	major 5:35	neither 40:35	13:31 17:53
Inaudible 24:53	law 15:21	making 6:39	North 2:45	23:47 29:49
individuals	learn 2:53	15:23 37:15	notice 18:37	31:43 40:37
13:27 34:21	learned 27:39	manage 14:31	notified 19:9	partners 8:47
industrial 33:51	left 19:37	Management	NPL 2:13 7:51	20:9
industrialized	Legislator 38:43	20:25	9:47 10:11,31	pay 16:41 18:13
30:51	Legislature	matter 29:41	10:53 12:31,33	21:11 30:27
information	19:33	mean 9:29,31,35	13:11 15:53	33:11,17
34:43	let's 26:41,43	11:11 15:45	19:21 21:47	paying 19:45
initially 13:49	letters 18:37	16:13 27:41	27:13,31,33,41	payment 22:29
inner 5:19	30:45	29:11 30:41	27:49 33:43	24:21
	level 36:39			

12/21/2017

Page 44

				Page 44
payments 22:27	PRIVILEGED	real 38:49	magnone; blo 2.25	15.17.27.27
penalties 28:27	1:25	realize 30:49	responsible 2:25	15:17,27,37
penny 31:31	probably 7:41		13:31 16:41	16:23,45,53
people 4:43 9:35	problem 16:39	really 2:33,49	17:21,53 23:47	17:25,35,43
28:23 30:11,19		5:13,21 26:9	29:47,49 31:43	18:29,41,45
35:39 39:11	16:43 30:23	32:25 34:47	rest 2:23	19:11,15 20:11
	problems 6:33	37:33	result 6:53	20:15,27,31,41
percent 21:15	39:33	recognition 30:9	results 40:39	21:37,49 22:15
21:27,33 22:21	process 2:51	recording 40:27	reused 28:15	22:35,43 23:9
22:37 23:17	3:29,53 5:21	Recovery 14:37	revitalization	23:29,51 24:15
24:13 30:21,27	14:11	refer 6:49	36:25	24.23,31,39
30:29	properties 10:13	regardless 35:15	revitalize 5:35	25:11,19,41,49
percentage	10:23 28:13	region 19:51	25:53 26:27	26:13,37,45
21:11	33:37 35:29	regional 6:37	revitalizing	27:9,21,35
perception	36:41 37:39	relates 15:41	27:51	28:29,45,53
27:43	property 15:33	34:27	right 3:17,33	29:35,43 30:15
permit 14:27,39	33:53 34:23,25	relationship	4:15 5:27 6:17	30:33,41 31:9
person 38:19	34:27 35:19	19:53	7:17 8:19,51	31:21,37 32:19
piece 5:15	36:15 38:15,23	remedial 12:27	8:51 10:27	32:51 33:23,33
place 11:37	38:23 39:11,13	12:31,39 13:9	13:13,19,21,37	35:9,23,31,49
36:33,35	property's	17:17 22:9,13	14:17,23 15:17	36:17,27,43,51
places 4:11	34:31,37	23:19	16:9,23,53	37:19,27 38:51
plan 3:17 32:31	proposing 2:11	remedy 32:35	18:41 19:11	39:15,19,25,35
point 31:49 38:9	protect 35:53	32:45	20:11,41 21:21	rubber 35:39
policy 20:39,45	37:15	removal 12:13	21:23,37,43	run 12:11
21:19,39	provisions 30:9	17:13	24:15,39 25:41	
pollution 11:35	30:19	repayment	25:49 26:45	S
11:47	PRP 32:43	18:33	29:43 31:51	savvy 32:39
portion 25:33	public 35:53	representative	32:21 35:49,49	saying 10:43
28:39	pulled 24:47	10:41 13:51	36:43 38:33	11:11 19:29
possibilities	purpose 28:15	19:19 37:29	39:15	27:23 29:27
23:45	pursue 18:31	required 20:47	ring 8:11,17	31:25 38:29
possibly 25:23	put 11:35 31:25	20:51 21:9,25	road 35:39	science 31:15
posture 2:21		requirement	ROBINSON	seat 19:19
potentially	Q	7:49	2:15,39 3:11	see 6:29,29 8:23
13:29 16:39	quality 3:47	requires 14:47	3:31,39,51	15:33 24:41
17:21,51 23:45	question 9:41	18:11 33:27	4:15,23,45,53	self 34:49 35:9
pound 31:33	21:45	residential	5:27,39,53	sell 34:41
power 6:13,51	questions 13:47	35:43,47	6:17,23,43	send 18:45,49
7:29	15:39 31:39	residents 33:49	7:17,23,35	18:51
preference	-	Resource 14:35	8:19,31,39,51	separate 26:11
17:39	R	resources 5:23	9:27,53 10:17	serves 27:51
presence 11:25	R 40:9	7:31 25:21	10:25,37 11:27	sets 17:49
pretty 28:27,41	rates 36:47	respond 28:35	11:39,49 12:21	shape 8:45
pride 19:51	RCRA 14:15,39	respond 28.33	12:37,47,53	share 20:39
Priorities 13:17	reached 7:9	responsibility	13:13,21,37,43	21:35 22:21,39
private 8:15	read 4:19	25:9,13,31	3 2 2 1	23:17 24:13
P.11.440 0.15		20.9,10,01	14:17,41 15:9	23,17 47.13
	l	I	I	

12/21/2017Page 45

				Page 45
29:21	stay 7:39	technology	4:45,53 5:39	wanted 9:39
show 22:41	step 19:17	32:37	5:49 6:23,43	31:41 34:45,47
showing 27:49	stimulates 28:19	tell 32:11 33:35	7:23,35 8:31	waste 7:15 14:33
sign 2:27 19:25	Stockham 34:9	tells 17:51	8:39 10:25	The contract of the contract o
33:19	stop 3:31	Ten 21:15	11:27,39,49	way 3:45 4:41
signed 18:35	stopper 22:39	tend 29:49		8:27 10:47,51
signing 19:45	22:41	term 12:45,49	12:21,37 14:41 15:9 16:45	16:25,33 26:47
site 6:15 7:47	strong 28:41	terms 3:23 5:29	1	29:29 36:11,21
14:47,51 16:11	studies 27:49	testing 31:17	17:25,43 21:29	ways 22:25,47
17:31 21:47	32:27	theory 36:39	21:49 22:15,43	39:31
25:53 27:47	study 33:11	thing 2:31 6:47	23:9,29,51	we'll 23:43
34:17	study 33.11 stuff 33:31	10:9 27:45	24:23,31 25:15	we're 5:17 15:19
sites 12:27 20:45	39:23		26:13,37 28:29	19:25,35,43,43
27:39	Superfund 2:11	29:47 31:9	28:45 29:35	19:45 23:25
situation 25:23	2:33 3:45 6:15	38:17,37	30:33 31:21,53	24:17,27,35
slither 38:33	The state of the s	things 3:21 4:37	32:15,51 33:23	25:35,43 26:21
smaller 12:19	7:9,15,47	7:45 9:33,37	33:33 35:23,33	26:29
	11:21 16:11,27	27:39 36:37	36:17,27,51	we've 27:39
smart 30:25	16:33 17:49	37:11	37:19 38:51	38:47,47 39:9
sorry 21:21,41	18:23 24:49	think 2:31 19:47	39:25,35	willing 17:33,35
sort 33:29	28:19 29:31	25:35 31:47	understand	30:27
sources 8:23	33:13,43 34:35	36:9 37:49	22:31 29:25	work 2:23 18:27
9:21 16:31	37:47,53 38:27	39:29	35:11	19:39 22:25,31
south 22:53	Superfund's	thought 30:43	unison 9:51	22:49 23:35
spend 12:15	32:35	tied 7:45 10:31	use 12:31 18:19	25:47 26:31
22:11 23:41	sure 15:23 34:47	10:33	18:23,25 23:37	28:37 33:29
spending 23:23	37:15	time 33:41,43	25:21	36:35 39:31
spreading 14:51	surrounding	36:15	usually 22:39	worked 38:49
stages 32:41	15:47	times 10:39	32:9 33:45,51	39:9
standard 17:49	sustain 35:19	38:47		working 5:17
standpoint	sustainable	transcribed	V	works 16:27,35
37:13	36:47	1:23,51,53	value 34:27	29:31
start 4:49 6:13		40:27	38:15	worth 34:31,37
state 13:39,41	T	transcript 40:25	values 35:19	38:23
13:45 19:17,23	T 40:9,9	true 40:27	36:15 39:13	wrong 33:41
19:39,53 20:39	table 2:25 11:45	try 4:41 7:29,41	Valve 34:11	
22:19 24:11,19	17:29	9:23 11:45	various 8:25	X
40:15	take 22:27,29	31:41	viable 36:47	XXX 23:35
stated 10:45,47	23:47 36:33,35	trying 38:39	visible 6:39	
13:27 19:19	talk 24:19	TUSCALOOSA	vision 8:37	Y
statements	talking 3:21	40:17		yeah 9:27 12:51
37:31	11:33	two 26:11	W	13:45 14:17
states 22:25,31	Tarrant 38:35	typed 40:25	W 40:51	18:49,51 19:13
22:51	38:37		Walter 13:53	21:21 22:35
status 7:47	tax 39:17,19	U	16:13,49 18:53	25:11 27:9,9
statute 14:21	taxpayer 18:25	uh-huh 2:15,39	23:25 24:43	27:35 29:29
20:49,53 21:27	technical 5:31	3:11,35 4:23	want 3:21 32:49	30:15,15 31:9
		The second secon		
Foresteen to the same same			I	

12/21/2017

		Page	e 46
31:29,29,35	30:47 31:11,29	I I I	
37:27	31:35,47 32:15		
	32:29		
Z	2017 1:51		
0	21 1:51		
1	3		
12:9,19,43 3:15	3 6:11,19,27,47		
3:35,49 4:9,17	7:19,27 11:15 12:51 13:33		
4:27,49 5:11	14:13 18:35,43		
5:29,49 7:53	18:49 19:13		
8:21,35,43,53	21:9 25:35,43		
11:19,31,43,53	25:51 26:17,41		
12:25 13:19	26:51 38:45		
14:25,45 15:13	39:9,17,21,29		
15:19,31 16:17			
16:25,49 17:9 17:29,37,47	4		
18:31 20:47	5		
21:15,29,43,53			
22:19,37,47	6		
23:13,33 24:9			
24:17,27,35,53	7		
25:15 27:17,25	8		
27:29,37 28:33			1
28:49 29:29,39	9		
29:45 30:17,37	9/30/18 40:53	*	
31:31,53 32:25			
32:33 33:9,27 34:51 35:17,27			
35:37,51 36:21			
36:31,45 37:9			
37:23			
10 21:27,33			
22:21,37 23:17			
24:13 30:21,27	97		
30:29			
2			
2 10:9,21,29 12:43 13:11,15			
13:29,39 14:19			
16:19 19:47			
20:13,21,29,35			
20:43,51 21:17			1
21:33,39 27:27			STEEL ST
I			